



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,230	11/14/2003	Sam-Shajing Sun	036021.0001	2469
22467	7590	04/14/2008		
Kimberly A. Chasteen				
PO Box 1243				
Yorktown, VA 23692				
EXAMINER				
HALL, ASHA J				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1795				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/14/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/714,230

Applicant(s)

SUN, SAM-SHAJING

Examiner

ASHA HALL

Art Unit

1795

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ASHA HALL.(3) Bruce Harper.(2) Alexa Neckel.(4) Sam Sun.

Date of Interview: 02 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: Thompson (US 6,107,561) and Brabec.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant Sam Sun explained to Examiner Hall the principles of block copolymer and that the primary reference Brabec in view of Thompson does not disclose a block copolymer. Examiner Hall referred to Boer which discloses a PPV as a donor block copolymer, but Sam Sun refuted that Boer is incorrect in terming PPV as a block copolymer. Examiner Hall determined that a new search would be conducted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Alexa D. Neckel/
SPE, Art Unit 1795

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required